

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

**NELSON MULLINS RILEY &
SCARBOROUGH LLP**

Sandra G. Ezell (SBN: 325046)
sandra.ezell@nelsonmullins.com
901 East Byrd Street, Suite 1650
Richmond, VA 23219
Telephone: 804.533.2900
Facsimile: 804.616.4129

Ian G. Schuler (SBN: 275052)
ian.schuler@nelsonmullins.com
750 B. Street, Suite 2200
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619.489.6110
Facsimile: 619.821.2834

Trevor C. Zeiler (SBN: 325543)
trevor.zeiler@nelsonmullins.com
19191 South Vermont Avenue, Suite 900
Torrance, CA 90502
Telephone: 424.221.7400
Facsimile: 424.221.7499

Attorneys for Defendant
TESLA, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Caleb Mendoza; Eduardo Mendoza and
Maria Mendoza; and Estate of Genesis
Giovanni Mendoza Martinez, by and
through its personal representatives,
Eduardo and Maria Elena Mendoza,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Tesla, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:24-cv-08738

(Removed from Contra Costa County Superior
Court – Case No. C24-02690)

**DEFENDANT TESLA, INC.’S NOTICE OF
REMOVAL TO THE UNITED STATES
DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1332, DIVERSITY
JURISDICTION**

Action Filed: October 9, 2024

TO THE CLERK OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

Defendant Tesla, Inc. (Tesla) hereby removes this case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446. This Court has original jurisdiction over the civil lawsuit because it involves citizens of different states, and the amount in

1 controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. In support of its Notice of Removal, Tesla
2 states as follows:

3 **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

4 1. On October 9, 2024, Plaintiffs Caleb Mendoza, Eduardo Mendoza, Maria Mendoza and
5 the Estate of Genesis Giovanni Mendoza Martinez (Plaintiffs), filed a Complaint in the Contra Costa
6 County Superior Court entitled: *Mendoza, et al. v. Tesla, Inc.*, Case No. C24-02690 alleging (1) strict
7 products liability, (2) negligent products liability, (3) negligent misrepresentation, (4) fraudulent
8 misrepresentation, (5) concealment, (6) negligent infliction of emotional distress, and (7) wrongful
9 death causes of action against Tesla stemming from an automobile accident that occurred on February
10 18, 2023, on Interstate 680 in California. (Pls'. Summons and Compl. attached as Ex. A to Declaration
11 of Trevor C. Zeiler (Zeiler Decl.).)

12 2. Tesla is the only defendant to this action.

13 3. Tesla submitted its Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint, for filing, on December 4, 2024, in
14 the Contra Costa County Superior Court. (Zeiler Decl. ¶ 5, Ex. C.)

15 **DIVERSITY JURISDICTION**

16 **Diversity of Citizenship Exists**

17 4. Plaintiffs Caleb Mendoza, Eduardo Mendoza, and Maria Mendoza allege they are
18 citizens of Bethal Island, County of Contra Costa, California. (Compl. ¶¶ 1, 2.) Decedent Genesis
19 Giovanni Mendoza Martinez was a resident of Pittsburg, County of Contra Costa, California at the time
20 of his death. (Compl. Ex. A.) A party's place of residence is prima facie evidence of domicile. *State*
21 *Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Dyer*, 19 F.3d 514, 520 (10th Cir. 1994). Plaintiffs Eduardo Mendoza and
22 Maria Mendoza are personal representatives of the Estate of Genesis Giovanni Mendoza Martinez.
23 (Compl. ¶ 4.) For purposes of diversity jurisdiction, the legal representative of an estate has the same
24 citizenship as the decedent. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(2).

25 5. Tesla is now, and was at the time the Complaint was filed, a corporation incorporated in
26 the state of Texas with its principal place of business in the state of Texas, as recognized by the United
27 States District Court for the Northern District of California. (Zeiler Decl. ¶¶ 6, 7, 8, Ex. D, E, F; *Monet*
28 *v. Tesla, Inc.*, No. 5:22-cv-00681-EJD, 2022 WL 2714969 (N.D. Cal. July 13, 2022) (denying motion

1 to remand because at the time plaintiff filed the complaint, Tesla was a citizen of Texas and Delaware);
2 *Sare v. Tesla, Inc.*, No. 2:22-cv-00547-JAM-CKD, 2022 WL 2817422 (E.D. Cal. July 18, 2022)
3 (denying motion to remand after finding Tesla’s principal place of business is now in Austin, Texas).)

4 6. A corporation’s “‘principal place of business’ is best read as referring to the place where
5 a corporation’s officers direct, control, and coordinate the corporation’s activities. It is the place that
6 Courts of Appeals have called the corporation’s ‘nerve center.’” *Hertz Corp. v. Friend*, 559 U.S. 77,
7 92-93 (2010).

8 7. On December 1, 2021, almost exactly three years ago, Tesla officially moved its
9 headquarters from 3500 Deer Creek Road in Palo Alto, California, to 13101 Harold Green Road,
10 Austin, Texas (later 1 Tesla Road in Austin, Texas). (Zeiler Decl. ¶ 7, Ex. E.) Austin, Texas is the
11 location of Tesla’s “Gigafactory,” a colossal manufacturing plant that covers 2,500 acres, with over 10
12 million square feet of factory floor and cost over \$1 billion to build. Tesla broke ground on this facility
13 on July 15, 2020. In 2020, there were 98 full-time Tesla employees on site in additions to hundreds
14 more contingent staff. By 2021 the full-time employee headcount increased to approximately 2,500.
15 Tesla received permits to begin vehicle production on December 6, 2021.

16 8. Texas is the location where two of Tesla’s high level corporate officers—including its
17 CEO and CFO—direct, control, and coordinate the company’s activities. Tesla’s CEO and CFO have
18 worked out of Austin, Texas since prior to the filing of Plaintiffs’ Complaint. Tesla’s CEO—Elon
19 Musk—confirmed that he had moved to Texas by December 8, 2020. As CEO, Mr. Musk is involved
20 in many facets of product design, engineering, and global manufacturing of Tesla’s electric vehicles,
21 battery products and solar energy products, and has done so from Gigafactory Texas since early
22 2021. Other company leadership, who report directly to Mr. Musk that are based in Gigafactory
23 Texas include: (1) the Head of Tesla’s Legal Department; (2) Tesla’s Vice President of Employee
24 Health and Safety; and (3) and Tesla’s General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. In addition, there
25 are a number of other VP/Director level employees who have been working out of Austin for many
26 months.

27 9. Thus, there is complete diversity of citizenship pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (a)(1)
28

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

1 because Plaintiffs are citizens of Contra Costa County, California and Tesla is a citizen of Texas.¹

2 **The Amount in Controversy is Satisfied**

3 10. “[A] defendant’s notice of removal need include only a plausible allegation that the
4 amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional threshold,” and “[e]vidence establishing the amount
5 is required by § 1446(c)(2)(B) only when the plaintiff contests, or the court questions, the defendant’s
6 allegation.” *Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens*, 574 U.S. 81, 89 (2014).

7 11. Plaintiffs allege Genesis Giovanni Mendoza Martinez died and Caleb Mendoza was
8 seriously injured in a motor vehicle collision when the Tesla Model S collided with a firetruck. (Compl.
9 p. 13:19-20 and ¶ 52.) Plaintiffs further allege that “the Subject Vehicle sustained major frontal damage,
10 crushing Giovanni’s body. Giovanni survived, at least momentarily, but subsequently died from the
11 injuries he sustained in the collision.” (*Id.* ¶ 53.) No other facts are plead that detail Plaintiffs’ injuries
12 as a result of the accident.

13 12. Plaintiffs seek economic, noneconomic, and punitive damages. (*Id.* p. 36:8-10).

14 13. While Tesla does not concede or admit that any claims for any amounts have legal or
15 factual merit, it is evident from the Complaint that the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional
16 threshold due to the death of Genesis Giovanni Mendoza Martinez and alleged serious injuries
17 sustained by Caleb Mendoza from the vehicle collision described above. There is a preponderance of
18 the evidence from the face of the Complaint that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00,
19 exclusive of interest and costs. (See also, Compl. ¶ 12 (“[t]he amount in controversy is *well in excess*
20 of the Court’s jurisdictional threshold of \$35,00.”)(Emphasis added).))

21 **PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS**

22 14. Tesla, the only named defendant, was served with the Complaint on November 4, 2024.
23 Tesla filed this notice of removal within 30 days of the service of the summons and Complaint. (Zeiler
24 Decl. ¶ 4, Ex. B.) Thus, removal of this lawsuit is timely under 28 U.S.C. § 1446.

25 15. The Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Contra Costa is located
26 in the Northern District of California. Therefore, venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 84 because this is

27 _____
28 ¹ “Doe” defendants shall be disregarded for removal purposes. See, *Bryant v. Ford Motor Co.*, 886
F.2d 1526, 1528 (9th Cir. 1989).

1 the “district and division with which such action is pending....” (28 U.S.C. § 1446(a).)

2 16. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), a copy of this notice of removal is being served upon counsel
3 for Plaintiffs, and a copy is being filed with the clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California
4 for the County of Contra Costa.

5 17. A copy of all process, pleadings, and orders filed in state court are attached hereto, as
6 required by 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a). (Zeiler Decl. ¶ 3, Ex. A.)

7 **CONCLUSION**

8 18. This Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 since there is complete
9 diversity of citizenship between the parties and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive
10 of interest and costs, and removal jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1441 since all the requirements for
11 removal have been met.

12 19. Having met all of the requirements for removal under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, the
13 defendant, Tesla, requests that this Court assume complete jurisdiction in this matter.

14 Dated: December 4, 2024

Respectfully submitted,

15
16 **NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH
LLP**

17 By: /s/ Trevor C. Zeiler

18 Sandra G. Ezell
19 Ian G. Schuler
20 Trevor C. Zeiler
Attorneys for Defendant
TESLA, INC.

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

**PROOF OF SERVICE
(CCP § 1013(a) and 2015.5)**

I, the undersigned, am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; am employed with Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP and my business address is 19191 South Vermont Avenue, Suite 900, Torrance, CA 90502.

On December 4, 2024 I served the foregoing document entitled **DEFENDANT TESLA, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1332, DIVERSITY JURISDICTION** on all the appearing and/or interested parties in this action by placing the original a true copy thereof as follows:

[by MAIL] - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postage cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing this affidavit.

[by FAX] - I caused the aforementioned document(s) to be telefaxed to the aforementioned facsimile number(s). The machine printed a record of the transmission, and no error was reported by the machine.

[by FEDERAL EXPRESS] - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by Federal Express. Under that practice such correspondence will be deposited at a facility or pick-up box regularly maintained by Federal Express for receipt on the same day in the ordinary course of business with delivery fees paid or provided for in accordance with ordinary business practices.

[by ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION] - By transmitting such document(s) electronically from my e-mail address at Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP to the person(s) at the electronic mail addresses listed above pursuant to Emergency Rule 12 and/or the agreement of the parties.

[by ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION] - I served the above listed document(s) described via the United States District Court's Electronic Filing Program on the designated recipients via electronic transmission through the CM/ECF system on the Court's website. The Court's CM/ECF system will generate a Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) to the filing party, the assigned judge, and any registered users in the case. The NEF will constitute service of the document(s). Registration as a CM/ECF user constitutes consent to electronic service through the court's transmission facilities.

[by PERSONAL SERVICE] - I caused to be delivered by messenger such envelope(s) by hand to the office of the addressee(s). Such messenger is over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action and employed with [attorney service].

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed December 4, 2024 at Whittier, California.

ELIZABETH VELASQUEZ
Print Name

By: 
Signature

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

SERVICE/MAILING LIST

Caleb Mendoza, et al. v. Tesla, Inc.

Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No.: C24-02690

<p>SINGLETON SCHREIBER, LLP Brett J. Schreiber, Esq. Srinvas Hanumadass, Esq. Carmela Birnbaum, Esq. 591 Camino de la Reina, Suite 1025 San Diego, CA 92108</p>	<p>ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS</p> <p>Tel: (619) 771-3473 Fax: (619) 255-1515 Email: bschreiber@singletonschreiber.com vas@singletonschreiber.com cbirnbaum@singletonschreiber.com</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

**NELSON MULLINS RILEY &
SCARBOROUGH LLP**

Sandra G. Ezell (SBN: 325046)
sandra.ezell@nelsonmullins.com
901 East Byrd Street, Suite 1650
Richmond, VA 23219
Telephone: 804.533.2900
Facsimile: 804.616.4129

Ian G. Schuler (SBN: 275052)
ian.schuler@nelsonmullins.com
750 B. Street, Suite 2200
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619.489.6110
Facsimile: 619.821.2834

Trevor C. Zeiler (SBN: 325543)
trevor.zeiler@nelsonmullins.com
19191 South Vermont Avenue, Suite 900
Torrance, CA 90502
Telephone: 424.221.7400
Facsimile: 424.221.7499

Attorneys for Defendant
TESLA, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Caleb Mendoza; Eduardo Mendoza and
Maria Mendoza; and Estate of Genesis
Giovanni Mendoza Martinez, by and
through its personal representatives,
Eduardo and Maria Elena Mendoza,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Tesla, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:24-cv-08738

(Removed from Contra Costa County Superior
Court – Case No. C24-02690)

**DECLARATION OF TREVOR C. ZEILER
IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT TESLA,
INC.’S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO THE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1332,
DIVERSITY JURISDICTION**

Action Filed: October 9, 2024

I, Trevor C. Zeiler, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before all courts of the State of California and the Northern District Court. I am an attorney employed by Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP, attorneys of record for defendant Tesla, Inc. (Tesla). I have knowledge of all the facts set forth herein,

1 and if called upon to do so by the court, could and would testify competently thereto.

2 2. I make this declaration in support of Tesla’s Notice of Removal to the United States
3 District Court for the Northern District of California.

4 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit “A”** is a true and correct copy of Plaintiffs’ Complaint
5 entitled *Mendoza, et al. v. Tesla, Inc.*, Case No. C24-02690, and the Summons served on Tesla.

6 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit “B”** is a true and correct copy of the proof of service of
7 the Summons and Complaint indicating service was made on Tesla, the only named defendant,
8 through its designated agent for service of process, CT Corporation System on November 4, 2024.

9 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit “C”** is a true and correct copy of Tesla’s Answer to
10 Plaintiffs’ Complaint submitted for filing on December 4, 2024, in the Contra Costa County
11 Superior Court.

12 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit “D”** is a true and correct copy of the Statement of
13 Information and business entity detail for Tesla, Inc. obtained from the official website for the
14 California Secretary of State on December 2, 2024. The Statement of Information indicates that
15 Tesla, Inc. is a corporation incorporated in Texas, with its principal office in Texas, and its Chief
16 Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer are based out of Texas.

17 7. Attached here to as **Exhibit “E”** is a true and correct copy of Tesla’s Securities and
18 Exchange Act Commission Form 8-K, which was filed December 1, 2021, and states: “On
19 December 1, 2021, Tesla, Inc. relocated its corporate headquarters to Gigafactory Texas at 13101
20 Harold Green Road, Austin, Texas 78725.” Upon information and belief, 13101 Harold Green Road,
21 Austin, Texas has since been renamed to 1 Tesla Road in Austin, Texas.

22 ///
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

1 8. Attached hereto as **Exhibit “F”** are true and correct copies of orders in *Monet v. Tesla,*
2 *Inc.*, No. 5:22-cv-00681-EJD, 2022 WL 2714969 (N.D. Cal. July 13, 2022) and *Sare v. Tesla, Inc.*, No.
3 2:22-cv-00547-JAM-CKD, 2022 WL 2817422 (E.D. Cal. July 18, 2022) obtained via Westlaw on
4 December 2, 2024.

5 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
6 foregoing is true and correct.

7 Executed this 4th day of December, 2024 at Torrance, California.

8
9 /s/ Trevor C. Zeiler
10 Trevor C. Zeiler

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**PROOF OF SERVICE
(CCP § 1013(a) and 2015.5)**

I, the undersigned, am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; am employed with Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP and my business address is 19191 South Vermont Avenue, Suite 900, Torrance, CA 90502.

On December 4, 2024 I served the foregoing document entitled **DECLARATION OF TREVOR C. ZEILER IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT TESLA, INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 1332, DIVERSITY JURISDICTION** on all the appearing and/or interested parties in this action by placing the original a true copy thereof as follows:

[by MAIL] - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postage cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing this affidavit.

[by FEDERAL EXPRESS] - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overnight delivery by Federal Express. Under that practice such correspondence will be deposited at a facility or pick-up box regularly maintained by Federal Express for receipt on the same day in the ordinary course of business with delivery fees paid or provided for in accordance with ordinary business practices.


[by ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION] - By transmitting such document(s) electronically from my e-mail address at Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP to the person(s) at the electronic mail addresses listed above pursuant to Emergency Rule 12 and/or the agreement of the parties.

[by ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION] - I served the above listed document(s) described via the United States District Court's Electronic Filing Program on the designated recipients via electronic transmission through the CM/ECF system on the Court's website. The Court's CM/ECF system will generate a Notice of Electronic Filing (NEF) to the filing party, the assigned judge, and any registered users in the case. The NEF will constitute service of the document(s). Registration as a CM/ECF user constitutes consent to electronic service through the court's transmission facilities.

[by PERSONAL SERVICE] - I caused to be delivered by messenger such envelope(s) by hand to the office of the addressee(s). Such messenger is over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action and employed with [attorney service].

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed December 4, 2024 at Whittier, California.

ELIZABETH VELASQUEZ _____ By:  _____
Print Name Signature

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

SERVICE/MAILING LIST

Caleb Mendoza, et al. v. Tesla, Inc.

Contra Costa County Superior Court Case No.: C24-02690

<p>SINGLETON SCHREIBER, LLP Brett J. Schreiber, Esq. Srinvas Hanumadass, Esq. Carmela Birnbaum, Esq. 591 Camino de la Reina, Suite 1025 San Diego, CA 92108</p>	<p>ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS</p> <p>Tel: (619) 771-3473 Fax: (619) 255-1515 Email: bschreiber@singletonschreiber.com vas@singletonschreiber.com cbirnbaum@singletonschreiber.com</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
LOS ANGELES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28